

## Norwood Township 2014 Master Plan Survey Comments

### 3. Which *density* (for single family homes) do you think is appropriate for *future* development in Norwood Township?

- 1 or larger 3/26/2014 7:22 PM
- 2 Could be smaller than 1/2 acre but not multiple homes or condos 3/26/2014 7:03 PM
- 3 1 house per 2 acres is ok 3/26/2014 6:52 PM
- 4 2 ac 3/26/2014 5:58 PM
- 5 with consideration for slight .5 acre variances 3/26/2014 5:06 PM
- 6 do not care for planned unit developments or site condos 2/28/2014 9:02 AM
- 7 development that encourages agriculture/farm forest development 2/27/2014 1:37 PM
- 8 I'm in favor of residential areas much like the village of norwood with high density (houses on lots) surrounded by open community space. I'm against houses being built along waterfronts, restricting use to the waterfront and limiting views to the waterfront. I would be in favor of set back restrictions (i.e. at least 300 feet from high water mark.) 2/26/2014 12:03 PM
- 9 must try to preserve as much farmland though! 2/20/2014 6:27 PM
- 10 depends on development style and needs 2/20/2014 10:35 AM
- 11 Once you divide up the land you lose some special 2/20/2014 10:00 AM
- 12 Not thinking in terms of lot size, thinking in terms of open spaces 2/13/2014 10:44 AM
- 13 Do not care.....if you own the land do what you want with it! 2/11/2014 5:23 PM
- 14 One house per 10 acres 2/7/2014 5:12 PM
- 15 or more unless a planned development approved 2/6/2014 6:00 PM
- 16 Or greater 2/5/2014 5:32 PM

### 4. What types of residential development would you like to see?

- 1 I would prefer single family homes on 3 acre lots. No condo developments please. 3/26/2014 6:27 PM
- 2 I would prefer single family homes on 3 acre lots. No condo developments please. 3/26/2014 6:06 PM
- 3 No condos no manufactured housing 3/26/2014 5:24 PM
- 4 It is very important that only stick built homes. No mobile homes or manufactured housing. 3/14/2014 3:51 PM
- 5 preserve land and quaint feel 2/28/2014 9:02 AM
- 6 There has been plenty of development. More houses on every hill with a view of the lake will not enable the township to maintain what character it has left. 2/27/2014 1:37 PM
- 7 Adequate roads and other services must be available. 2/25/2014 2:03 PM
- 8 homes developed with adequate safe services - water, septic, road access 2/20/2014 10:35 AM
- 9 Overall, the large tracts of land should be preserved 2/20/2014 10:00 AM
- 10 I firmly believe there should be flexibility in determining the house to acre ratio. In some cases where extended families live nearby 3 acre lots per house makes sense for example. I would NOT like to see any more condominium developments like those developed by Klooster and Siegwart which when fully occupied will totally impact the ambience of a "rural" village...but water under the bridge,etc. 2/20/2014 8:58 AM
- 11 Let the land owner determine what he wants 2/17/2014 10:59 AM
- 12 All of the above, done with taste. 2/15/2014 9:29 AM
- 13 All of the above, done with taste. 2/15/2014 9:29 AM
- 14 whatever the landowner chooses. 2/14/2014 8:31 PM
- 15 Same as question 3. 2/11/2014 5:23 PM
- 16 encourage family farms 2/11/2014 1:10 PM
- 17 No condos! 2/8/2014 10:07 AM
- 18 a small amount of higher density developments that are scattered would be acceptable say maybe 10% or less. 2/6/2014 6:00 PM
- 19 Homes are homes regardless of lot size. don't limit housing opportunities based on lot size. 2/5/2014 8:48 PM

### 7. Where would you like to see future commercial (retail and services) growth located to serve the citizens of Norwood Township?

- 1 Norwood and Atwood area Hwy accessibility, Gas station, eatery, farm fresh. Agriculture related arts, crafts, home grown small to keep the country feel. 3/26/2014 7:51 PM
- 2 A quaint grocery store would be nice in the village. 3/14/2014 3:51 PM
- 3 Think the commercial business would need to be on US 31 to have a chance to be successful. 2/26/2014 5:13 PM
- 4 If anything, a charming General Store in one of the historic buildings would seem in keeping with Norwood Village's character. Perhaps it could be Township owned and operated and could help to fund some of our projects. 2/26/2014 3:54 PM
- 5 It depends on the nature of the commercial activity. car dealerships no. Fruit markets or agricultural related businesses, ok. quaint artisan galleries, ok. 2/26/2014 12:03 PM
- 6 In the area it is currently located. 2/25/2014 7:46 PM
- 7 small businesses in norwood that approve the local economy and improve the environment would be ok if approved by majority of community 2/24/2014 11:38 PM
- 8 not enough knowledge ofvtge twp to answer 2/24/2014 7:46 PM
- 9 By Manthei 2/24/2014 1:51 PM
- 10 a small coffee shop/convenience store closer to norwood, with the cost of gas going up it would be nice to have a local social gathering place.

2/21/2014 8:42 PM

11 I think development should fit the master plan on Norwood and not be location specific. 2/21/2014 9:55 AM

12 I live near 31 and Norwood rd. I do not want commercial development there. The property has been in my family for 100 years and the peace is why we have it. Any development should be very minimal and in the village or not at all. 2/20/2014 10:58 AM

13 Depends on type of business and its traffic needs to the surrounding area. 2/20/2014 10:35 AM

14 No further expansion but if we already have a business, let it grandfather in 2/20/2014 10:00 AM

15 If there is a new opening into Fisherman's State Park located in Norwood a general store at that intersection. Otherwise no gas stations etc. anywhere 2/20/2014 8:58 AM

16 No commercial developments other than those listed in question number 8 2/19/2014 11:33 AM

17 State park land swap is a bad deal! 2/16/2014 12:17 PM

18 Anywhere immediately off of US-31 2/14/2014 8:31 PM

19 Depends on what the service is and it's size. 2/11/2014 8:13 PM

20 Where ever the person(s) who own the land dream of putting their business. I do NOT think it is my right as a resident to stamp on another mans right(s). We are not a democracy/communist society and me telling you what and how to do something is communistic to the core! 2/11/2014 5:23 PM

21 The market will determine where and when commercial enterprises open on 31 2/10/2014 9:40 PM

22 The peacefulness of the area around Hwy 31 and Norwood Village should not be tainted with commercial businesses. 2/9/2014 1:35 PM

23 Commercial development should be determined by the township and each individual case as to its location. 2/6/2014 11:47 AM

24 commercial opportunities should not be limited anywhere in the twp. Opportunities should be allowed where opportunities exist based on people, ease of use, natural resources etc. 2/5/2014 8:48 PM

25 Closer to existing business south of US-31 Hwy. 2/5/2014 5:32 PM

## 8. What type of new retail/service would you like to see in Norwood Township?

1 eatery, ice cream sandwich specialty shop 3/26/2014 7:51 PM

2 Nothing on US 31 3/26/2014 6:52 PM

3 with own access road they could have the other places above. If it's gonna happen keep it in one area. 3/26/2014 5:47 PM

4 It would be nice to have a closer option for groceries than Eastport. On that note, if a small shop was to open in the Village, I think it would be important for it to have rules governing the appearance of the building and hours of operation. If a main stream business was to open, it would be best kept to the US-31 corridor. 3/14/2014 3:51 PM

5 very low key and non commercial 2/28/2014 9:02 AM

6 If anything, a charming General Store in one of the historic buildings would seem in keeping with Norwood Village's character. Perhaps it could be Township owned and operated and could help to fund some of our projects. 2/26/2014 3:54 PM

7 An exercise facility - like health center or yoga studio at the south end of the township. Perhaps a coffee shop in the summer. I would rather see a gas station in Atwood than Norwood but there needs to be something in that area. I don't propose spoiling agricultural area to put a gas station. A gas station in Atwood could also carry milk, and things like aspirin, toilet paper, etc. other things which are carried in a general store. 2/26/2014 12:03 PM

8 Since there is no place to comment on question 9, I will comment here. Developers are having a hard time keeping their small shopping centers full as it is. Where would such a shopping center go? Atwood has seen the demise of a gas station/small grocery store and the demise of a larger grocery store since we moved here. The village of Norwood has seen a general store, school and post office fold. We don't need empty buildings. 2/25/2014 2:03 PM

9 small locally ran and owned if approved by majority of norwood township residents not large companies or quarries 2/24/2014 11:38 PM

10 not enough twp knowledge to answer 2/24/2014 7:46 PM

11 Would like cable, internet and cell service. Also other than propane fuel service. 2/20/2014 10:13 AM

12 see comment in question 7 2/19/2014 11:33 AM

13 Immediately off of US-31. Not in the village. 2/14/2014 8:31 PM

14 None at this time. 2/11/2014 8:13 PM

15 Same as question 7. 2/11/2014 5:23 PM

16 The peacefulness of the area around Hwy 31 and Norwood Village should not be tainted with commercial businesses. 2/9/2014 1:35 PM

17 industrial opportunities too 2/5/2014 8:48 PM

18 This seasonal type of business would be acceptable. 2/5/2014 5:32 PM

## 10. Please check the response that identifies the village or city that you conduct most of your business

1 Medical in Petoskey & Central Lake also. 3/26/2014 6:27 PM

2 Medical in Petoskey & Central Lake also 3/26/2014 6:06 PM

3 Boyne City 3/26/2014 5:58 PM

4 Also, Traverse City and Petoskey. 3/14/2014 3:51 PM

5 and central lake ( and then atwood and east port as they are on way to central lake from norwood) 2/24/2014 11:38 PM

6 shop in eastport for food and hardware, all others in charlevoix or online 2/21/2014 8:42 PM

7 Mix of traverse Charlevoix and Petoskey 2/18/2014 3:14 PM

8 I live out of town, but when I'm there I'm frequenting Charlevoix. I also go to Friskies frequently as well as Atwood Hardware. 2/14/2014 8:31 PM

9 Boyne City 2/13/2014 10:44 AM

10 WALMART SHOULD HAVE OPENED KMART IS A JOKE 2/12/2014 9:20 AM

11 balanced between Charlevoix & Petoskey 2/11/2014 1:10 PM

12 Petoskey, traverse city 2/10/2014 1:15 PM

13 Though the general feel of the business owners in the City of Charlevoix is that they can charge more for the same items available in Petoskey or Traverse City just because they are Charlevoix. So if we are in one of the other cities (usually once a week) I will deliberately fill up the gas tank or

go to the grocery store because of the cost difference. The pricing at our gas stations (avg of .20/per gallon over Elk Rapids or Traverse City) is ridiculous. Our grocery store choices in Charlevoix prices are horribly above the other towns as well. We use Charlevoix businesses for small incidental purchases. It would be nice to see Charlevoix compete to keep the business local. 2/9/2014 1:35 PM

14 All of the above 2/6/2014 6:00 PM

15 2 Petoskey 2/4/2014 11:56 PM

### **13. In general, industrial development in the Township should be:**

1 Why pave Paradise to put up a parking lot? 2/26/2014 3:54 PM

2 industrial development which does not negatively impact the environment - either through noise, air, ground or water pollution. 2/26/2014 12:03 PM

3 Only "clean industry" ... No smoke stacks, no noise, no smell, no water/ground pollution 2/21/2014 5:26 PM

4 Would need a lot more specifics - vague question to answer. 2/20/2014 10:35 AM

5 Back To question 11, general Store type commercial Is welcome, strip mall no matter how cool Is bad. 2/18/2014 3:14 PM

6 It shouldn't be promoted but if it occurs it should be with appropriate land use regulations 2/17/2014 12:42 PM

7 At this point in time there are industrial buildings setting vacant within driving distance of Norwood Twp.2/14/2014 2:38 PM

8 Industrial development should NOT be allowed in our peaceful township!!! 2/9/2014 1:35 PM

### **14. In general, mining operations in the Township should be:**

1 Opposed to land swap - St. Mary's 3/26/2014 7:35 PM

2 ABSOLUTELY NOT ALLOWED!!! 3/14/2014 3:51 PM

3 no fracking 2/28/2014 9:02 AM

4 Why pave Paradise to put up a parking lot? 2/26/2014 3:54 PM

5 We already have a mineral district. Keep mining there. If someone wants to develop mining outside that area, have them first seek a rezoning. If very serious consequences exist, don't allow it. The neighbors were there first. Mining isn't farming, there is no right to mine act as there is a right to farm act. 2/25/2014 2:03 PM

6 Mining generally looks dirty... Not part of a "vacation" area that we are for most of the year... And where a large majority of tax payers come for ... 2/21/2014 5:26 PM

7 There is a balance between protecting the township's natural resources and scenic views and promoting new business and industry. The township has gone way overboard in land restrictions to protect the region to the hindrance of business and industry, in my opinion (e.g. Wynkoop tragedy over a handful of opinions not the township as a whole). We need to balance the protection of the area with what's best for the ENTIRE township, not for a few within the village. 2/21/2014 9:57 AM

8 We already have mining in a defined area which is fine- I do not want to expand - lousy confusing question 2/20/2014 10:35 AM

9 Other than those already negotiated with St. Mary's on land already designated in our mining district. 2/19/2014 11:33 AM

10 should only be allowed where they are now and nothing new allowed 2/16/2014 2:31 PM

11 The current mining operations in Charlevoix County poorly regulated and are eyesores/ 2/14/2014 2:38 PM

12 Have to be very careful on any land use for this purpose. Can be devastating if done wrong. 2/11/2014 8:13 PM

13 We do NOT need mining operations in the township that could potentially ruin our well water and alter our landscape. 2/9/2014 1:35 PM

14 But allowed if the "RULES ARE FOLLOWED"--and don't drive users to suicide if they follow them because a few don't agree with the process!!!! 2/6/2014 5:06 PM

15 Where the resources are 2/4/2014 11:56 PM

### **15. If there were going to be industrial development in the Township, where would it be located?**

1 not sure 3/26/2014 7:35 PM

2 Why all these question about Industrial development??? 2/27/2014 5:36 PM

3 Near the existing commercial/industrial enterprises. 2/26/2014 12:03 PM

4 That is where it is now, keep it there. That is also where the survey taken a decade ago supported any industrial development. 2/25/2014 2:03 PM

5 at north edge of norwood township along 31 where there is already industry and only if well regulated and maintained 2/24/2014 11:38 PM

6 North East Quadrant 2/24/2014 1:51 PM

7 if there is any keep it in the manthei area 2/21/2014 8:42 PM

8 Most taxes come from residents who come for vacation -- don't discourage them with ugly development... 2/21/2014 5:26 PM

9 I do not want to zone out areas but rather want any development to be according to the master plan on Norwood. 2/21/2014 9:55 AM

10 wherever feasible 2/20/2014 6:27 PM

11 Show me a plan. Would want to have industrial development with adequate roads and access for necessary traffic and parking but would not want to interfere with park and recreation or residential areas. NSEW doesn't adequately describe. 2/20/2014 10:35 AM

12 Immediately off of US-31. 2/14/2014 8:31 PM

13 Any location with proper oversight 2/13/2014 7:40 AM

14 Not enough information for a decision 2/11/2014 8:13 PM

15 North, South, East and West. 2/11/2014 5:23 PM

16 The north end along US-31 2/5/2014 5:32 PM

### **17. Do you feel that the Township should enact special measures to protect the natural resources?**

1 No to land swap! 3/26/2014 7:35 PM

2 It would depend on the "special measure" being considered. 3/26/2014 5:06 PM  
 3 willing to pay to assist in this effort as with the phragamite fund 2/28/2014 9:02 AM  
 4 This is the number one thing the township has going for it. Beaches, parks, walking/bike trails, should all be encouraged. 2/26/2014 12:03 PM  
 5 please protect norwood beach or township park and fisherman island state park as well as other natural resources of water and lakes and land 2/24/2014 11:38 PM  
 6 The question is too vague 2/24/2014 1:51 PM  
 7 Object to private property rights being limited. 2/21/2014 8:14 PM  
 8 Within reason! 2/20/2014 6:27 PM  
 9 What are the benefits and protections and costs? Would need more information 2/20/2014 10:35 AM  
 10 The twp needs a marina or at the very lease a dock/boardwalk 2/20/2014 10:27 AM  
 11 If owned by the state, or township; what measures are needed? 2/15/2014 9:29 AM  
 12 If owned by the state, or township; what measures are needed? 2/15/2014 9:29 AM  
 13 Private land owners should determine what they want for their property. Private land owners make better individual judgements in determining the correct balance for protecting nature and the land-use with how it is utilized. Although well intentioned, blanket government ordinances broadly restrict sound decision making on a case by case basis. 2/14/2014 8:31 PM  
 14 .I THINK THE SCENIC VIEW AND OPEN SPACE SHOULD BE LEFT AS IT IS 2/12/2014 9:20 AM  
 15 Special measures is much too broad a description to answer this question. 2/11/2014 8:13 PM  
 16 The State has enough protections in place without having to add more. 2/11/2014 5:23 PM  
 17 Not sure what "should enact special measures" means to existing property owners? 2/5/2014 5:32 PM  
 18 Unnecessary--state and federal regs cover this. Twp could encourage private land trust preservation, or possibly acquire land for parks. 2/4/2014 11:56 PM

### 23. Would you support improvement of Township Park on Lake Michigan?

1 possibly a grant could be obtained or applied for. 3/26/2014 6:52 PM  
 2 grants, millage 3/26/2014 6:27 PM  
 3 grants, millage 3/26/2014 6:06 PM  
 4 Yes to all of the above except No 2/28/2014 4:35 PM  
 5 this is a tough one, I feel that it has become overly manicured and lost some of its feel. restroom is appreciated, however ! 2/28/2014 9:02 AM  
 6 Depends what the Township has in mind. A deeper swimming area and better beach/ sand area would be a real improvement. I wouldn't want to support vendors or anything like that. 2/26/2014 3:54 PM  
 7 I would have to know what the improvements would be first. 2/26/2014 12:03 PM  
 8 Sweat equity and volunteer efforts with citizen leadership have turned the park into a very usable asset for the township from the overgrown mess that it was allowed to sink to prior to the revitalization effort started six years ago. Possible state grants such as the one used to install the toilets that went in last year. 2/25/2014 2:03 PM  
 9 Undecided 2/21/2014 8:46 PM  
 10 we already have some funds available every year and it should be spent on the park or saved for future projects 2/21/2014 8:42 PM  
 11 volunteer and grant efforts have been adequate for our needs to improve. Ongoing maintenance is needed - how will that be funded or done? 2/20/2014 10:35 AM  
 12 It needs to happen soon 2/20/2014 10:27 AM  
 13 Not until propane use problem is addressed 2/20/2014 10:13 AM  
 14 Would like to hear all the options...not opposed to increase in property taxes or a special assessment but would need to know details 2/19/2014 11:33 AM  
 15 It is just fine as is other than an possible pier 2/18/2014 3:14 PM  
 16 It's hard to improve on the natural setting which currently exists, as it visually blends in well with the surrounding area. Any further structures or playsets would detract from the natural beauty. It currently attracts families or small groups of people which is perfect. I wouldn't want to attract large groups / huge parties. 2/14/2014 8:31 PM  
 17 At this time just maintain what is presently there.. Community work parties can do this. 2/14/2014 2:38 PM  
 18 NO TAX INCREASE FOR IMPROVEMENTS THIS WILL JUST CAUSE MORE PARK TRAFFIC AND MORE LITTER 2/12/2014 9:20 AM  
 19 Could be done perhaps through grants or federal money. Not by raising taxes. Bad idea. 2/11/2014 8:13 PM  
 20 Possibly. 2/9/2014 9:52 PM  
 21 Not sure what would need to be done. Would need more input. 2/6/2014 6:00 PM  
 22 park is too limited by who and when it can be used, too many rules, park is usually used and controlled by the same small group who think they actually own it. 2/5/2014 8:48 PM  
 23 the park is nice the way it is. I don't want higher taxes but do not want the state park sold off for commercial development to raise money. keep the park 2/4/2014 3:02 PM

### 24. What types of improvements would you like to see at the Township Park?

1 more view parking larger 3/26/2014 7:51 PM  
 2 Warner Road paved 3/26/2014 7:35 PM  
 3 None - move the portajohn to a more aesthetic location! 3/26/2014 7:22 PM  
 4 Road 3/26/2014 7:16 PM  
 5 Was improved with bench & new toilets. Does not need more. 3/26/2014 7:03 PM  
 6 none 3/26/2014 6:41 PM  
 7 preservation of the dunes, pavilions, walkways 3/26/2014 6:27 PM

8 preservation of the dunes, pavilion, walkways 3/26/2014 6:06 PM  
9 trash cans & toilets, steps. Too nice and it attracts too many non-residents that don't care or just vandalize. Breaking tables - grills etc. 3/26/2014 5:47 PM  
10 beach and a few more picnic tables 3/26/2014 5:33 PM  
11 Better Stairs 3/26/2014 5:24 PM  
12 patches of poison ivy along beach and path to beach eliminated 3/26/2014 5:15 PM  
13 Some benches down near beach 3/1/2014 7:32 PM  
14 A better beach with deeper water, sand bottom closer to shore. Boat launch at beach. Dredging. After all this was once a harbor for shipping lumber. 2/28/2014 4:35 PM  
15 none, like as is 2/28/2014 9:02 AM  
16 Some board walks on the east side of the road and stairs up to the village 2/27/2014 5:36 PM  
17 Recycling containers. More accessible swimming .ie deeper water. Better beach/sand area. 2/26/2014 3:54 PM  
18 Better parking (it is prone to flooding and muddy at times) I would like to see tree plantings at the park. 2/26/2014 12:03 PM  
19 Continue to remove the brush. Construct a handicap walkway down to the lake. Possible construction of a small pavilion so people can get out of the rain. fix the well that used to operate on the bluff. Possibly pave the parking lot and LakeShore from the intersection of Lake Street and LakeShore. 2/25/2014 2:03 PM  
20 I think you have done well 2/25/2014 12:38 PM  
21 trash can or service please 2/24/2014 11:38 PM  
22 Water pump, play structure, pavillion 2/24/2014 9:27 PM  
23 I support the improvements that have been made and would not like to see it get too upscale 2/24/2014 8:25 PM  
24 trash can 2/23/2014 10:09 PM  
25 BOAT LAUNCH 2/23/2014 6:33 PM  
26 Pavillon 2/23/2014 2:03 PM  
27 None 2/23/2014 12:05 PM  
28 maybe a small covered area like antrim creek natural area with a couple benches 2/21/2014 8:42 PM  
29 Clean sandy beach Clean nice restrooms Fishing pier 2/21/2014 5:26 PM  
30 None in particular 2/21/2014 3:06 PM  
31 Pavilion Picnic area Cleaner restrooms 2/21/2014 9:57 AM  
32 Clean Beach and Water, Gazebo, Boat Launch, Rocks removed from water near shoreline 2/21/2014 1:07 AM  
33 Plant a mix of native conifers and maples maybe add a picnic table or two. 2/20/2014 6:27 PM  
34 none, keep it simple to avoid the crowds 2/20/2014 5:54 PM  
35 none needed. A pavillion while nice would open up more issues and costs than we would support. 2/20/2014 10:35 AM  
36 Community Dock, boardwalk and or marina 2/20/2014 10:27 AM  
37 Clean the waters edge of debris and bring in sand 2/20/2014 10:00 AM  
38 The current improvements are wonderful and what you are doing should be continued 2/20/2014 8:58 AM  
39 shelter/shade area 2/19/2014 11:33 AM  
40 Continued maintenance by residents 2/18/2014 6:58 PM  
41 I feel the township park facilities are appropriate for the size of the park currently. 2/18/2014 9:31 AM  
42 Pavilion. Add Wyncoop property to the park system. 2/17/2014 12:42 PM  
43 Just kept clean 2/16/2014 2:31 PM  
44 Warner road is in VERY BAD SHAPE. 2/16/2014 12:17 PM  
45 None. 2/14/2014 8:31 PM  
46 Just properly maintain what is there. 2/14/2014 2:38 PM  
47 Small fun park for kids...slide, swing, etc. 2/14/2014 2:05 PM  
48 Don't add more buildings, except maybe a pavilion to eat under, a small one, not a big one. Otherwise, just maintain as is. I think there is enough there now with the new toilets and tables and love the bench! 2/13/2014 12:23 PM  
49 Pavilion 2/13/2014 10:44 AM  
50 N/A 2/13/2014 7:40 AM  
51 IT SHOULD REMAIN THE SAME 2/12/2014 9:20 AM  
52 Would have to study the site. 2/11/2014 8:13 PM  
53 Fill several enormous water filled holes gracing Lakeshore Drive along Lake Michigan just south of Fisherman's Island State Park. 2/11/2014 6:36 PM  
54 If it costs me more money than what is already sucked out of me, None! 2/11/2014 5:23 PM  
55 Expand grass area, add shade trees, service to keep beach area clear of visitor debris 2/11/2014 1:10 PM  
56 Undecided 2/11/2014 12:38 PM  
57 Easier to get to 2/10/2014 4:45 PM  
58 picnic pavilion 2/10/2014 1:06 PM  
59 not known 2/10/2014 11:31 AM  
60 Tree trimming and brush clearing. 2/9/2014 9:52 PM  
61 Small pavilion, boat access 2/9/2014 3:52 PM  
62 some type of plantings (small trees, bushes or shrubs) around the new rest rooms. 2/9/2014 11:47 AM  
63 Beach improved 2/8/2014 6:52 PM  
64 ? unsure of the current needs 2/6/2014 6:00 PM  
65 Fishing pier, playground for kids, covered eating area. 2/6/2014 11:47 AM  
66 Better Parking and Bathroom facilities 2/6/2014 9:53 AM  
67 No opinion...never been there. 2/5/2014 10:59 PM  
68 privacy 2/5/2014 8:48 PM  
69 The park is very comfortable and limited crowds helps keep it clean. 2/5/2014 5:32 PM  
70 Improved restroom facilities, beach & grills & tables 2/5/2014 12:41 PM  
71 Picnic shelter, restrooms 2/4/2014 11:56 PM

## 25. Do you support the Elk Rapids to Charlevoix Trail?

- 1 Would need more info on where trail would be 3/26/2014 6:27 PM
- 2 would need more info on where trail would be 3/26/2014 6:06 PM
- 3 location? 2/27/2014 5:36 PM
- 4 That would depend on its location. 2/26/2014 5:13 PM
- 5 So far it sounds like a nice, "green" idea. I look forward to hearing more about the proposed routing and other particulars. 2/26/2014 3:54 PM
- 6 But I would support this idea highly 2/26/2014 12:03 PM
- 7 please explain this 2/24/2014 11:38 PM
- 8 What would the route follow? 2/21/2014 8:14 PM
- 9 I would like to see a proposed bike trail like the one from Charlevoix to Petoskey 2/21/2014 5:26 PM
- 10 I have heard of the proposal but unaware of the exact location. I am not sure at this time weather or not to support it. 2/20/2014 6:27 PM
- 11 While the concept is fine, what are the means and locations - are they safe, locally intrusive or how are they planned? 2/20/2014 10:35 AM
- 12 But need more details on the route 2/19/2014 11:33 AM
- 13 Only if it doesn't disturb the 2 track lakeshore drive road going to Whiskey Creek and surrounding state land. 2/17/2014 12:42 PM
- 14 While still preserving the rights of individual property owners. Creative use of land the township and State already own. 2/10/2014 3:04 PM
- 15 yes, if it does consider property owners rights in the creation 2/10/2014 1:06 PM
- 16 Where will the trail be located? 2/5/2014 5:32 PM

## 28. Check the roads typically used by members of your household on the way to and from work.

- 1 Don't work but use the above roads frequently. 3/26/2014 6:27 PM
- 2 don't work but use the above roads frequently 3/26/2014 6:06 PM
- 3 Use these roads for shopping 2/28/2014 4:35 PM
- 4 2nd home, do not currently work up north, though may relocate and work in TC, using US-31 2/28/2014 9:02 AM
- 5 Badly worded question. Why not ask, which roads do you use? I am retired, but, I use the roads. Of what consequence is U.S. 31? It is a federal highway, maintained by MDOT, and the township has no control over it. 2/25/2014 2:03 PM
- 6 Many trips to Charlevoix each day for shopping and activities in and out of town 2/25/2014 10:53 AM
- 7 retired people use roads too! 2/20/2014 5:54 PM
- 8 what is the point of this question? to determine plowing or paving? what does working have to do with road usage??? 2/20/2014 10:35 AM
- 9 But just as access to home 2/18/2014 3:14 PM
- 10 Hilltop 2/10/2014 9:40 PM

## 31. Would you support an additional millage to pave gravel roads in the Township?

- 1 Pave Warner Road 3/26/2014 7:35 PM
- 2 Ferry Road should be paved from 31 to Barnard Rd. Beatty Road is a nightmare. 3/26/2014 6:52 PM
- 3 change some back to gravel (speed control) 3/26/2014 5:47 PM
- 4 Not familiar with all the roads. 2/28/2014 4:35 PM
- 5 like Lakeshore Road left as dirt - natural 2/28/2014 9:02 AM
- 6 This does not seem to be an appropriate question for this survey. 2/27/2014 5:36 PM
- 7 It would depend on the decision to pave the road as is and not widen it when it is not necessary. 2/26/2014 5:13 PM
- 8 Uncertain. Is this being considered after the existing deteriorated paved roads are repaired? How many and which gravel roads? What are the projected costs? 2/26/2014 3:54 PM
- 9 Congratulations, you have just created a schism in the township between those who live on gravel roads and would like to see them paved, a minority, and those who already live on paved roads and want to see them maintained. What about the existing roads. What does this question have to do with planning? I have ALWAYS supported millage increases for infrastructure, but this question doesn't address the township needs in total, but rather a narrow reference to gravel roads. 2/25/2014 2:03 PM
- 10 it depends on or size of roads as to how funds obtained 2/24/2014 11:38 PM
- 11 Taxes are too high now. 2/21/2014 8:14 PM
- 12 It would be nice if some of our gravel roads had two lanes (one for each direction) 2/21/2014 9:57 AM
- 13 As long as they are setup and done properly! Unlike Lake Shore Drive and Whitmere Roads! 2/20/2014 6:27 PM
- 14 hasn't worked in past = why ask other funding - what is that? 2/20/2014 10:35 AM
- 15 Not until propane, cell phone, internet, cable is addressed. We are like a third world country. 2/20/2014 10:13 AM
- 16 Only if such paving did nothing to destroy the natural beauty of the area, such as widening Lake Shore Dr. 2/18/2014 6:58 PM
- 17 Whatever it takes, lets get it done 2/16/2014 5:25 PM
- 18 Start with paved roads in very poor condition. 2/16/2014 12:17 PM
- 19 Through out the State; paved roads cannot be maintained and are being put back to gravel. If residential areas want their road paved; it should be done by assessment 2/14/2014 2:38 PM
- 20 Need more information 2/11/2014 8:13 PM
- 21 NO! The money we have paid in the past was thrown away in frivolous lawsuits. If you can't be good stewards of that how will more help? 2/11/2014 5:23 PM
- 22 How many are remaining? There should be a need for paving, also considering the upkeep of current paved roads. 2/10/2014 1:06 PM
- 23 aLREADY RECEIVE ROAD FUNDS - JUSTNEED TO PRIORITIZE BETTER 2/10/2014 9:17 AM
- 24 Ferry road should be paved from 31 to Barnard would support. 2/6/2014 6:00 PM
- 25 Several limited use Dirt roads that did not need to be paved were done. Maybe we should of assessed all dirt roads before paving some seasonal roads? 2/5/2014 5:32 PM

**33. In Your opinion, what do you consider needs more protection by the Township? (Please rank your top 5 choices in order of importance, assigning "5" to the most important)**

- 1 keep woodland areas - sad to have tress cut down for scenic views 2/28/2014 9:02 AM
- 2 Each of these choices is a very important Norwood Township treasure worthy of our protection. 2/26/2014 3:54 PM
- 3 Property rights 2/24/2014 1:51 PM
- 4 Personal property rights-not everything needs to be regulated to death. 2/21/2014 8:14 PM
- 5 Keep lakes clean! 2/21/2014 5:26 PM
- 6 protect the natural beauty of the area. Farming doesn't enhance it nor does home on small tracts of land and industry and small strip stores would make me want to move. 2/20/2014 10:00 AM
- 7 All equal except farming which is already in good hands 2/18/2014 3:14 PM
- 8 Paving Lakeshore Drive in Norwood 2/16/2014 5:25 PM
- 9 I believe the township is trying to protect to many things. You need smart growth. 2/15/2014 9:29 AM
- 10 I believe the township is trying to protect to many things. You need smart growth. 2/15/2014 9:29 AM
- 11 THE TOWNSHIP DOESNT NEED TO PROTECT OPEN SPACE AND OR SCENIC VIEWS BY SETTING IN PLACE MORE RULES AND REGULATIONS TO CHANGE HOW IS HAS BEEN FOR OVER 3 GENERATIONS 2/12/2014 9:20 AM
- 12 low population density 2/11/2014 1:10 PM
- 13 the rights of property owners. 2/10/2014 3:04 PM
- 14 Please give high priority to controlling the invasive species of Autumn Olive trees, which are rapidly overtaking and choking out open spaces, yards and fields. Government funding available to assist.2/9/2014 11:47 AM
- 15 don't forget industrial opportunities 2/5/2014 8:48 PM
- 16 I am not sure what "needs more protection by the TOWNSHIP" means to existing home owners? I feel additional or added restrictions are being looked at again like it was several years ago- not needed! 2/5/2014 5:32 PM
- 17 Water front 2/5/2014 5:06 PM

**34. Should your elected officials actively work to bring internet access to the Township?**

- 1 Don't care! 3/26/2014 7:03 PM
- 2 Elected officials should try to bring or improve internet access to us, but I don't feel they should "actually" do so. We have other problems I think. 3/26/2014 6:52 PM
- 3 internet access currently available 3/26/2014 6:27 PM
- 4 internet access currently available 3/26/2014 6:06 PM
- 5 cable preferred - tv, phone, & Int. 3/26/2014 5:47 PM
- 6 Not their job 3/26/2014 5:24 PM
- 7 Oh Yes, PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE! 3/14/2014 3:51 PM
- 8 ABSOLUTELY 3/2/2014 1:28 PM
- 9 Encourage service providers to provide better and more reliable service. 2/28/2014 4:35 PM
- 10 I use satellite and its fine. 2/27/2014 1:37 PM
- 11 Urgent. Also, natural gas. 2/25/2014 7:46 PM
- 12 its improved greatly with towers in norwood village and with coli 2/24/2014 11:38 PM
- 13 Keep government out of it. 2/24/2014 1:51 PM
- 14 It is time for us to be brought into the technology age. 2/21/2014 8:14 PM
- 15 Internet access is very much needed as are adequate cell phone towers 2/21/2014 5:26 PM
- 16 If feasible 2/20/2014 6:27 PM
- 17 private sector job at this time 2/20/2014 10:35 AM
- 18 It would be nice but I would rubber stamp it until i knew what the costs were/ 2/20/2014 10:00 AM
- 19 More than yes 2/18/2014 3:14 PM
- 20 We have tried for many years to conduct our business from home but all internet services offered are too slow. We have very poor cell phone connection too.... 2/14/2014 2:05 PM
- 21 Yes, yes, yes!!!! 2/13/2014 10:44 AM
- 22 Why would you do that. 2/11/2014 8:13 PM
- 23 Demand for better service will do it 2/10/2014 9:40 PM
- 24 The technology currently exists that people can have internet in their homes without the township doing any further development. 2/9/2014 1:35 PM
- 25 ....especially on Lakeshore Drive, since cellular phone signals are non-existent. 2/5/2014 10:59 PM
- 26 PLEASE!!! 2/5/2014 9:31 PM
- 27 what internet access? 2/5/2014 8:48 PM
- 28 Will this be by microwave or telephone poles? not sure of the impact this will create in our town. so my answer will be no if the existing trees along roadways will be compromised. 2/5/2014 5:32 PM

**35. Would you support a tax millage or other funding mechanism to pay for improved internet service?**

- 1 Let the provider incur costs. Just don't make them do unreasonable things to provide service 3/26/2014 5:47 PM
- 2 absolutely not 3/26/2014 5:24 PM
- 3 not an appropriate question for a land use survey 2/27/2014 5:36 PM
- 4 Incentives for current companies to improve their infrastructure - why should tax payers pay for them to profit? 2/21/2014 8:14 PM
- 5 Internet Service is a profit making enterprise. We should promote, but not subsidise a profit making Co. 2/14/2014 2:38 PM

- 6 Should be paid for by the individuals and companies that utilize the network, plus perhaps state or federal funding. The federal government has had programs over the last few years that provided funds and loans for "rural" broadband access and our area would have qualified under these programs. 2/11/2014 9:14 PM
- 7 To get service all over the township or just in certain places? 2/10/2014 1:06 PM
- 8 NOT needed!! 2/9/2014 1:35 PM
- 9 Board should find ways to encourage ISP's to expand and upgrade service to our area. 2/6/2014 6:00 PM
- 10 I don't want to pay for internet TWICE. If it comes to me free for being in Norwood Township if I pay a tax millage, I'd be okay with it. However, to pay a millage then also "subscribe" to it, then NO WAY! 2/6/2014 5:06 PM
- 11 ...if Lakeshore Drive were included I the project. 2/5/2014 10:59 PM
- 12 Other funding mechanism that is paid by the internet users. Obviously, if the user fees are too high, it won't work. 2/4/2014 11:56 PM

### **36. Would you support a property tax millage for purchasing additional park land?**

- 1 Where? 3/26/2014 6:27 PM
- 2 Where? 3/26/2014 6:06 PM
- 3 What land, how much, should be a special vote with details on what property. What will be done or allowed with it. Do not support a piggy bank for something that may come up. 3/26/2014 5:47 PM
- 4 Unless necessary to improve beach or protect area from development, preserve lake front. 2/28/2014 4:35 PM
- 5 Need more information. 2/26/2014 3:54 PM
- 6 I would opt to get the conservancy involved first. 2/26/2014 12:03 PM
- 7 We have a hard time maintaining what we presently have. We have Fisherman's Island State Park within our Township, a Banks Township park to our south and all the inland and Lake Michigan parks to our north in Charlevoix. 2/25/2014 2:03 PM
- 8 what area or land is being considered for new park? 2/24/2014 11:38 PM
- 9 would like to know what land is desired before deciding 2/21/2014 8:42 PM
- 10 Set up a voluntary fund for donations. 2/21/2014 8:14 PM
- 11 we already have a park and a state park 2/20/2014 10:35 AM
- 12 Yes, but only if it is adequately developed quickly 2/20/2014 10:27 AM
- 13 Propane needs to be addressed before additional costs to taxpayers . I get a tax raise every year with not any return on services. 2/20/2014 10:13 AM
- 14 possibly grants could help this and no extra taxes 2/16/2014 2:31 PM
- 15 Already have a lot of state and township land. 2/15/2014 9:29 AM
- 16 Already have a lot of state and township land. 2/15/2014 9:29 AM
- 17 Absolutely NOT! 2/11/2014 5:23 PM
- 18 This would change the character of the area. Increase traffic, noise, crime. 2/10/2014 3:04 PM
- 19 more park means more people means more traffic means more parties means more disruptions- it is not wanted! The peacefulness would be destroyed. 2/9/2014 1:35 PM
- 20 no additional funds would be needed if the twp would allow operations that generate revenue in the twp. 2/5/2014 8:48 PM
- 21 Might one time for a specific purchase. 2/4/2014 11:56 PM

### **37. The Purchase of Development Rights is the voluntary sale of the right to develop property by the landowner to a government agency or land trust. The government agency or land trust acquiring development rights typically restricts future uses of the land to farming, recreation or open space. Would you support a property tax millage for purchasing development rights?**

- 1 NO NO NO 3/2/2014 1:28 PM
- 2 I am all for it, if this refers to a property tax millage that funds Norwood Township in the purchase of property development rights restricting use of land to farming, recreation or open space. 2/26/2014 3:54 PM
- 3 I would be in favor but I see there could be great conflict of interest in this area. I would want great oversight in this area. 2/26/2014 12:03 PM
- 4 Where? For what use? How much? 2/25/2014 2:03 PM
- 5 Leave it in private hands 2/24/2014 1:51 PM
- 6 Definitely NOT! 2/23/2014 12:05 PM
- 7 There are already agencies that preserve land that individuals can donate money to . 2/21/2014 8:14 PM
- 8 LANDOWNERS SHOULD BE ABLE TO DO WHAT THEY WANT WITH THEIR LAND 2/12/2014 9:20 AM
- 9 You must be kidding! NEVER! 2/11/2014 5:23 PM
- 10 STAY AWAY FROM THIS AND I WILL ACTIVELY PROTEST ANY ATTEMPT FOR YOU TO PURSUE THIS ACTION!!!!!! Sure sounds like Agenda 21 to me. 2/6/2014 5:06 PM
- 11 private ownership will always provide more tax revenue and opportunities than a gov agency 2/5/2014 8:48 PM

### **38. Would you support a property tax millage to improve fire protection in the Township?**

- 1 What? How? 3/26/2014 6:27 PM
- 2 What? How? 3/26/2014 6:06 PM
- 3 is there a problem with our present coverage? Are you suggesting that we establish our own fire department, ala Banks Twp.? If the answer is yes, I would vote no. 2/25/2014 2:03 PM

- 4 i didn't know there was an issue 2/21/2014 8:42 PM
- 5 need more information 2/20/2014 10:35 AM
- 6 Yes, if it would take us out of the "10" highest code for getting home insurance due to our distance from a fire station. We are paying higher taxes than ranch land/homes in Wyoming located 80 miles from a fire station. 2/19/2014 11:33 AM
- 7 Is there a problem in this area? 2/15/2014 9:29 AM
- 8 Is there a problem in this area? 2/15/2014 9:29 AM
- 9 What are our costs now for what coverage we have? Duplication only adds costs. We have adequate coverage at this time. 2/14/2014 2:38 PM
- 10 Depends on the design of such an endeavor. 2/11/2014 8:13 PM
- 11 No! Stop wasting what you already get! 2/11/2014 5:23 PM
- 12 This issue needs to be debated and resolved 2/6/2014 6:00 PM
- 13 ....must outline the improvements. 2/5/2014 10:59 PM
- 14 Unaware of a problem. 2/4/2014 11:56 PM

**39. Would you support a property tax millage to improve Ambulance Services in the Township?**

- 1 We have services provided by the City of Charlevoix. Are they asking for an increased millage? When the new agreement was signed, the Supervisor and Township Board made the case for additional millage to fund the program. I believe the citizens of the township would weigh the specific request and its benefits before voting yes or no. I am unaware of any shortcomings of our present coverage. 2/25/2014 2:03 PM
- 2 it is poor now? 2/24/2014 11:38 PM
- 3 see above 2/21/2014 8:42 PM
- 4 What better can you get than Charlevoix? 2/15/2014 9:29 AM
- 5 What better can you get than Charlevoix? 2/15/2014 9:29 AM
- 6 Depends on the design and manning of such. 2/11/2014 8:13 PM
- 7 You get enough for that already too! 2/11/2014 5:23 PM
- 8 Need more info. 2/6/2014 6:00 PM
- 9 ...outline improvements. 2/5/2014 10:59 PM
- 10 Unaware of a problem. Unaware of what would be done. 2/4/2014 11:56

**40. Would you support a property tax millage to improve Public Safety in the Township?**

- 1 What for? 3/26/2014 7:22 PM
- 2 What? 3/26/2014 6:27 PM
- 3 What? 3/26/2014 6:06 PM
- 4 Such as? 3/26/2014 5:47 PM
- 5 I feel that Norwood is very safe. 3/14/2014 3:51 PM
- 6 need more info 2/26/2014 12:03 PM
- 7 Do you propose the creation of a township police dept. with this question? Our policing is provided by the County Sheriff, is he asking for a millage? From time to time we also see a State Police and DNR officer/patrol car in the township. I am unaware of any shortcoming in our present coverage. 2/25/2014 2:03 PM
- 8 is it poor now ? 2/24/2014 11:38 PM
- 9 County currently does this 2/23/2014 2:03 PM
- 10 see above 2/21/2014 8:42 PM
- 11 Need more information, sometimes public safety includes fire and ambulance...is this what you mean? 2/19/2014 11:33 AM
- 12 Crime that high in Norwood? 2/15/2014 9:29 AM
- 13 Crime that high in Norwood? 2/15/2014 9:29 AM
- 14 What do you mean by this question? I believe our public safety at present is very adequate. 2/14/2014 2:38 PM
- 15 ...outline improvements. 2/5/2014 10:59 PM
- 16 Unaware of a problem. 2/4/2014 11:56 PM

**41. Which of the following best describes your residential status in Norwood Township?**

- 1 7 months out of 12 2/28/2014 4:35 PM
- 2 7-8 month resident 2/26/2014 3:54 PM
- 3 land owner 2/24/2014 7:46 PM
- 4 Land owner only 2/15/2014 9:29 AM
- 5 Land owner only 2/15/2014 9:29 AM
- 6 Vacant waterfront landowner. 2/11/2014 6:36 PM
- 7 We own acreage and visit from time to time. 2/10/2014 9:27 PM
- 8 Renter looking to buy.....soon 2/10/2014 1:15 PM
- 9 owner of property without any structure present 2/6/2014 12:54 PM

### 43. What type of property do you own in Norwood Township?

- 1 Personal residence on property. 2/26/2014 3:54 PM
- 2 We farm our 5 acres 2/23/2014 12:58 PM
- 3 Agriculture/farm/forest/residential combination 2/21/2014 8:14 PM
- 4 My residential property when I purchased it was agricultural and after it was purchased, I discovered I couldn't even build a barn on it and then later it was changed to residential by the township board defeating the purpose of why I bought the property in the first place. 2/21/2014 9:57 AM
- 5 1 acre 2/17/2014 12:52 PM
- 6 Residential and forest 120 acres 2/13/2014 7:40 AM
- 7 Not sure what you mean. 2/11/2014 8:13 PM
- 8 Conservation easement and other property used to farm and use for recreation 2/10/2014 1:06 PM
- 9 Own 15 acres in farmland (vineyard) and smaller piece in land conservancy 2/9/2014 3:52 PM

### 46. In your own words, what characteristics would make Norwood Township an exceptional place to live five (5) years from now?

- 1 Serene, Beautiful, peaceful, safe (common sense), clean, keeping parcels more accessible in winter. 3/26/2014 7:51 PM
- 2 Less Township regulations Less taxes 3/26/2014 7:22 PM
- 3 Upgrade roads Increase commercial tax base 3/26/2014 7:16 PM
- 4 improved swimming availability from public beaches 3/26/2014 7:09 PM
- 5 keeping the village intact without the mine and other industries with the village limits. 3/26/2014 7:03 PM
- 6 Less Government 3/26/2014 6:57 PM
- 7 Leave it just as it is please. 3/26/2014 6:52 PM
- 8 Leave as is. Less animosity 3/26/2014 6:41 PM
- 9 Better consideration for residents on outskirts 3/26/2014 6:32 PM
- 10 Controlled Growth 3/26/2014 6:27 PM
- 11 Controlled Growth 3/26/2014 6:06 PM
- 12 farming, natural, country 3/26/2014 5:58 PM
- 13 Less new people moving in to fight w/twp residents 3/26/2014 5:53 PM
- 14 Hopefully what's going will preserve the way it is. 3/26/2014 5:47 PM
- 15 retain our residential neighborhoods and restrict mineral expansion 3/26/2014 5:33 PM
- 16 opportunities to enjoy outdoors 3/26/2014 5:24 PM
- 17 No opinion 3/26/2014 5:15 PM
- 18 Our family really loves Norwood the way it is. It would be nice to have a small, cute grocery store in the village that operates reasonable hours. Maybe from one of the older homes, somewhat like an old time general store. The speed limit in the village should decrease at the cemetery on Norwood Road. The beach could be improved only by the addition of sand - the rocks can be hard on your feet. But otherwise, it is perfect. 3/14/2014 3:51 PM
- 19 no mining operation 3/3/2014 9:12 AM
- 20 More parks, trails, bike trails, wildlife reserves 3/1/2014 7:32 PM
- 21 Quiet! 2/28/2014 9:05 PM
- 22 It already is. Sustain the character. Make the beach swimmable. 2/28/2014 4:35 PM
- 23 we love it as is 2/28/2014 9:02 AM
- 24 Uncertain 2/28/2014 7:54 AM
- 25 Historic preservation protection of the village including a program to replace dying maple trees. 2/27/2014 5:36 PM
- 26 I would be a miracle if it could be sustained as is and not be developed anymore. We have lost the character of the township over the past 20 years because of the influx of retirees moving up here permanently from downstate. 2/27/2014 1:37 PM
- 27 same 2/27/2014 10:08 AM
- 28 Keep as is. 2/26/2014 8:03 PM
- 29 Needs to remain the quiet, neighborly, beautiful community it is presently 2/26/2014 5:13 PM
- 30 Leave it the way it is at this time 2/26/2014 3:57 PM
- 31 Preservation of the township's immense natural beauty, robust wildlife habitat, and unspoiled scenic vistas. Vigilant protection of Norwood's ecological health, including the water, air, and soil safety and quality. Assuring that the charms of our area are enhanced, rather than depleted by any contemplated future development (i.e.. strict zoning with an eye to aesthetics). Maintaining the quiet, and peacefulness of our area. eschewing both commercial and industrial development. Good quality, untainted, ground/well water. Strongly curtailed, if not discontinued, use of herbicides and pesticides in our Township. 2/26/2014 3:54 PM
- 32 Simply maintain the current land use restrictions and maintain the rural character of the township. 2/26/2014 1:22 PM
- 33 community events, Creation of walking trails. more exercise opportunities. Perhaps a gym or yoga studio facilities near Norwood. A dog park? 2/26/2014 12:03 PM
- 34 Preserve the character of the area while have a place for light industrial businesses to develop to provide jobs and improved tax basis. 2/25/2014 7:46 PM
- 35 Maintain what we have. Prohibit industrial and mining except in the areas already designated for such endeavors. Improve our roads and maintain the paved roads we already have with a strong maintenance program. maintain our open spaces and views sheds. 2/25/2014 2:03 PM
- 36 Beautifully maintained beach front Attractive roads..less happenstance patching 2/25/2014 10:53 AM
- 37 Keep government out of our business 2/25/2014 12:45 AM
- 38 protection of beauty and sense of community and access to a community park and beach and maybe a small grocery store 2/24/2014 11:38 PM
- 39 Natural beauty 2/24/2014 9:27 PM

40 having the township govt. continue to develop policies that represent the wishes of the majority of its citizens; having the citizenship working together toward common goals 2/24/2014 8:25 PM

41 less government 2/24/2014 1:51 PM

42 continued support of natural beauty and pastoral ideals and recognition of historic significance -- keep illegal industry out of Norwood and out of court if at all cost 2/23/2014 10:09 PM

43 increase the tax base for improvements 2/23/2014 2:03 PM

44 Not let it get overdeveloped. Keep it geared towards "country living" and encourage family farms. 2/23/2014 12:58 PM

45 No bike path down lakeshore drive in Clipperview subdivision! 2/23/2014 12:05 PM

46 quiet, clean, safe, keeping current with new technological developments 2/22/2014 11:03 AM

47 improved efforts to becoming a sustainable community 2/21/2014 8:46 PM

48 it's already a great place 2/21/2014 8:42 PM

49 Technology, rural character, farm life, private property right encouraged 2/21/2014 8:14 PM

50 Beautiful scenery, clean lake, nice restaurants, fresh vegetables and fish ... And good fire, police, ambulance services 2/21/2014 5:26 PM

51 Just as is right now 2/21/2014 3:06 PM

52 Less township control over decision and more input from ALL residents in the township (not just a few with personal agendas) 2/21/2014 9:57 AM

53 environment friendly, technology thinking with internet and cable, access to natural gas, 2/21/2014 9:55 AM

54 Retain & Improve Scenic views, Maintain Open space feel, Improve quality of Local parks & Recs, add Internet and limited commercial services (e.g., groceries, gas, Professional services, restaurant), and Paving of some dirt roads between Norwood Village & US-31, and Hiking & Bike trails from Norwood to Charlevoix and Elk Rapids 2/21/2014 1:07 AM

55 More local businesses/jobs. 2/20/2014 6:27 PM

56 historic emphasis easy-going life style 2/20/2014 5:54 PM

57 Same open space fir wildlife. 2/20/2014 1:50 PM

58 A place that preserves the peace and beauty of Lake Michigan an it's village 2/20/2014 10:58 AM

59 Good roads, parks, open areas and scenic views, commercial development limited to highways, much like today, equitable taxing mechanism 2/20/2014 10:35 AM

60 Clean, quaint, beach activities(dock, marina, boardwalk) high scale 2/20/2014 10:27 AM

61 The continued protection and care of our environment. 2/20/2014 10:13 AM

62 Low housing density and rural scenic views. I want to live in the country not a neighborhood. 2/20/2014 10:00 AM

63 Paved roads, continued snow plowing, a coffee shop in the village, more biking and walking trails, awesome historical group. 2/20/2014 8:58 AM

64 Knowing that we have a strong master plan in place protecting those areas listed in the survey 2/19/2014 11:33 AM

65 Active enforcement of zoning regulations. 2/18/2014 6:58 PM

66 Continued good government, general store, much the same as it is, protect it uniqueness, lay off unliveable crazy restrictions 2/18/2014 3:14 PM

67 no major changes, no windmills 2/18/2014 9:45 AM

68 Most of the attributes currently being questioned in this survey are the major benefits of the quality of life here in Norwood. Maintain the area's charm as it is. 2/18/2014 9:31 AM

69 Retain rural character and privacy. 2/17/2014 12:42 PM

70 friendly, loving, neighbors who care for others! 2/17/2014 10:59 AM

71 Proper zoning with a 5-10 year plan, paving roads, adequate law enforcement & safety of community, fire protection, protecting natural resources and lake frontage. 2/16/2014 5:25 PM

72 Continue land use the way it is now w/o any further industrial use in any areas other than the current industrial areas. 2/16/2014 2:31 PM

73 Keeping it the way it is. 2/16/2014 1:54 PM

74 Rural quiet living. 2/16/2014 12:17 PM

75 Smart growth without being stagnate. 2/15/2014 9:29 AM

76 Smart growth without being stagnate. 2/15/2014 9:29 AM

77 Peaceful, quaint, quiet, scenic. 2/14/2014 8:31 PM

78 Maintain its present characteristics. 2/14/2014 2:38 PM

79 Better internet service and road improvements 2/14/2014 2:05 PM

80 Improved road conditions, continued maintenance of township park, control of retail/industrial development to US 31 corridor 2/14/2014 10:53 AM

81 Limited government interventions at all levels. Rights of property owners take precedence. Rights of land owners to use their property as protected by constitution. 2/13/2014 6:22 PM

82 Not putting up windmills or more industry, but making Norwood Township more quaint with general store, small markets featuring local farmers, and artisans, etc. 2/13/2014 12:23 PM

83 Recreational trails and facilities , with some retail options 2/13/2014 10:44 AM

84 N/A 2/13/2014 7:40 AM

85 Preserving country settings 2/13/2014 12:22 AM

86 Strong zoning enforcement 2/12/2014 4:05 PM

87 LEAVE IT ALONE WE DONT NEED ANYMORE PEOPLE MOVING UP HERE FROM ELSEWHERE TRYING TO CHANGE NORWOOD FOR PERSONAL GAIN!!! 2/12/2014 9:20 AM

88 I think it is currently an exceptional place to live either year round or for a season. There can always be improvements and here would be mine: - Taxes should not be raised further. They are already high enough and we all need to be more efficient. Most families struggle as it is to pay there bills. - We should keep an eye out for additional federal programs that could help cover the cost of deploying a broadband/Internet network. - I think the Elk Rapids to Charlevoix path would be a plus for the area. A general store and a restaurant on the path in our township would be a good way to raise funds without burdening the home owners. 2/11/2014 9:14 PM

89 Trying to keep as much of the current living qualities as they are now. 2/11/2014 8:13 PM

90 Internet and lower taxes 2/11/2014 7:32 PM

91 Beauty, New England-like charm, peace, and seclusion. 2/11/2014 6:36 PM

92 The government stopping the encroachment on land owners rights. Stop trying to raise our already Outlandish property taxes for every hair brained idea that comes up. 2/11/2014 5:23 PM

93 No mining, or industrial activity except in the mining/industrial district as it is now. 2/11/2014 4:02 PM

94 Same population density; agricultural operations, environmental protections and scenic characteristics maintained; park-lands & trail-ways enhanced, fire & safety services made more robust. 2/11/2014 1:10 PM

95 Following strict guidelines, assuring strict adherence to laws. Livestock on the required 5 acres. 2/11/2014 12:38 PM

96 preserved historical character with non invasive technological enhancements. i.e. internet access available to well placed single family modest parcel sized homes. 2/11/2014 9:00 AM

97 Dark sky prospects, lack of stray light. Fresh air, clear views, large open space. Clean water if St. Mary's doesn't spoil water like at Clipperview 2/10/2014 9:40 PM

98 Keep nature's beauty. 2/10/2014 9:27 PM

99 Not sure 2/10/2014 4:45 PM

100 if the quiet beauty of the area is preserved. A Township Board that really has Norwood's best interest at heart, rather than making deals that line their own pockets. 2/10/2014 3:04 PM

101 charming village 2/10/2014 1:36 PM

102 charming village 2/10/2014 1:36 PM

103 Access to health services, general store/farm market type Shopping, maintained open spaces and continued waterfront/park beautification 2/10/2014 1:15 PM

104 One that preserves quality of life, privacy of residents and respects land use rights 2/10/2014 1:06 PM

105 We have always liked the area; would continue to do so. 2/10/2014 11:31 AM

106 Slow to moderate growth while preserving the unique characteristics of the great outdoors and mix of low key businesses 2/9/2014 3:52 PM

107 Keep the township a peaceful rural area without the congestion of more homes, people and traffic. 2/9/2014 1:35 PM

108 beautiful natural scenery 2/9/2014 11:47 AM

109 Maintaining the beautiful open land and beautiful views Norwood offers 2/8/2014 6:52 PM

110 Ban all Democrats Heavily weight the opinions of 20+ year residents 2/8/2014 11:36 AM

111 Maintaining the character of the village. Limiting commercial development to the US31 corridor. Preventing industrial development, mining/quarrying operations. Preventing development of multitenant housing in the village. 2/8/2014 10:29 AM

112 Very little change in terms of space and rural living. Clean, well kept properties, roads and public lands. 2/8/2014 10:07 AM

113 Continuation of land use for agriculture 2/7/2014 5:12 PM

114 Privacy-peaceful-quiet 2/6/2014 11:21 PM

115 Maintain what we have as it is truly a beautiful place to live. 2/6/2014 6:00 PM

116 Don't let it grow any further 2/6/2014 5:06 PM

117 rural atmosphere 2/6/2014 3:33 PM

118 The same rural undeveloped atmosphere that currently exist. 2/6/2014 12:54 PM

119 Peaceful, historical middle America 2/6/2014 10:24 AM

120 Improved roads Keep open spaces and 5 acre lots 2/6/2014 9:53 AM

121 Reliable infrastructure 2/5/2014 10:59 PM

122 Great outdoor opportunities in parks and trails. Minimize small parcel developments. 2/5/2014 9:31 PM

123 less exceptional now than 10 years ago, too many things have been changed or limited. 2/5/2014 8:48 PM

124 Respect and protection of the landowners concerns that relates to their property that makes up Norwood Township. 2/5/2014 6:51 PM

125 Minimize housing and business growth. 2/5/2014 5:32 PM

126 Preservation of the Village 2/5/2014 5:06 PM

127 Remain "as is," but for an addition of an Elk Rapids to CVX trail. 2/5/2014 4:37 PM

128 Maintaining the character and openness of what we have now. 2/5/2014 4:04 PM

129 Maintain quality of what we already have. 2/5/2014 3:39 PM

130 Maintaining the rural culture as it is now. 2/5/2014 12:41 PM

131 Slow growth 2/5/2014 12:09 PM

132 leave the township the way it is. we have Charlevoix and Traverse City and Petoskey for shopping and "city". We appreciate Norwood for what it is and like the small town feel. 2/4/2014 3:02 PM

**47. In your own words, what characteristics might hinder Norwood Township from being an exceptional place to live five (5) years from now?**

1 Too much industry. 3/26/2014 7:51 PM

2 Too many restrictions 3/26/2014 7:22 PM

3 Township Board should consider the whole township not just Norwood Village. 3/26/2014 7:16 PM

4 Too much commercial and industrial development along US 31 3/26/2014 7:09 PM

5 Reopening the mine on Norwood Road would be very detrimental to residents. 3/26/2014 7:03 PM

6 More Government 3/26/2014 6:57 PM

7 too much growth, crowdedness, malls, city like atmosphere 3/26/2014 6:52 PM

8 Mining 3/26/2014 6:41 PM

9 Poor service to fringe of Township 3/26/2014 6:32 PM

10 Uncontrolled growth and industrialization 3/26/2014 6:27 PM

11 Uncontrolled growth and industrialization 3/26/2014 6:06 PM

12 Township fights!!! 3/26/2014 5:53 PM

13 Destruction of the environment, 3/26/2014 5:47 PM

14 unrestricted mineral development 3/26/2014 5:33 PM

15 over development 3/26/2014 5:24 PM

16 over development 3/26/2014 5:15 PM

17 The only thing I could see hindering the Township would be if they started to allow mobile homes or manufactured housing and industrial type businesses. They should only be allowed within 1/2 mile of US 31. 3/14/2014 3:51 PM

18 same as above 3/3/2014 9:12 AM

19 Cell towers, subdivisions, strip malls, minimalls, open pit mining, clear cut lumbering 3/1/2014 7:32 PM

20 Overdevelopment 2/28/2014 9:05 PM  
21 Commercial development, mining and subdivision of land 2/28/2014 4:35 PM  
22 commercial growth, excessive planned developments, multi family housing, oil and mineral mining, strip malls - generally an eyesore 2/28/2014 9:02 AM  
23 People trying to take or change land owners rights 2/28/2014 7:54 AM  
24 Industrial development, paved roads and subdivisions 2/27/2014 5:36 PM  
25 too many people 2/27/2014 10:08 AM  
26 High commercial use. Township interference in landowner/ homeowner rights. 2/26/2014 8:03 PM  
27 mining operations on the Norwood Rd. 2/26/2014 5:39 PM  
28 To have more industrial business materialize that would rob the township of its agricultural, natural resources and quiet residential areas 2/26/2014 5:13 PM  
29 Over development 2/26/2014 4:05 PM  
30 Mining, strip malls, multi home developments 2/26/2014 3:57 PM  
31 Destruction of the area's natural beauty and ecological health. Ruination of the scenic corridors. Unattractive, out-of-character, residential and/or commercial development in Norwood Township and in Norwood Village.. Increased traffic. Noise, air, soil & water pollution. Increased crime. Ground water contamination. Fracking in our Township. Pervasive use of GMO seeds by area farmers making organic farming extremely difficult. 2/26/2014 3:54 PM  
32 Decreasing lot size requirements and or zoning changes promoting growth. 2/26/2014 1:22 PM  
33 Too much commercialism. too much residential development. loss of scenic views- including water views. Too much traffic on the highways without adequate passing lanes or no law enforcement of present speed limit. 2/26/2014 12:03 PM  
34 Aggregate and sand & gravel operations operating unrestrained all over the township. 2/25/2014 7:46 PM  
35 A failure to protect what we already have. 2/25/2014 2:03 PM  
36 any alteration to fishermans island access and land 2/25/2014 10:53 AM  
37 People in the village thinking they are important 2/25/2014 12:45 AM  
38 increased industry or overpopulation or abuse of environment or overgrowth of lake or beach or pollution of water or lakes 2/24/2014 11:38 PM  
39 Too many industrial businesses 2/24/2014 9:27 PM  
40 having a mine developed in close proximity to the village, or outside the industrial district of the north 2/24/2014 8:25 PM  
41 more government 2/24/2014 1:51 PM  
42 return of corrupt local government officials --eg. 2006 - 2009 2/23/2014 10:09 PM  
43 Being stagnated 2/23/2014 2:03 PM  
44 It is a bit isolated from town, seems farther away than it is. Keep up on the roads!! Work on curb appeal. 2/23/2014 12:58 PM  
45 Encouraging public access along lakeshore which disturbs wildlife activity and survival. 2/23/2014 12:05 PM  
46 poor cell reception, poor internet reception, development that ruins the land and the "flavor" of the area 2/22/2014 11:03 AM  
47 large-scale development 2/21/2014 8:46 PM  
48 becoming too well known, its nice being off the beaten path 2/21/2014 8:42 PM  
49 To many projects increasing taxes, too many regulations 2/21/2014 8:14 PM  
50 Dirty unattractive industrial growth, dirty lake, more smoke stacks, junky retail centers 2/21/2014 5:26 PM  
51 The continual infighting and agendas that certain people find important that causes people to not move here. No 2/21/2014 3:06 PM  
52 More and more control by the few over property and other decisions in the township. 2/21/2014 9:57 AM  
53 uncontrolled mining activity, not protecting the lake shore, not dealing with invasive species, not dealing with wind farms 2/21/2014 9:55 AM  
54 Industrial, Mining, Subdivisions, roads & parks in disrepair, 2/21/2014 1:07 AM  
55 More governmental intrusion to put too many limits on development. 2/20/2014 6:27 PM  
56 reputation for political battles significant division of residents on local policies 2/20/2014 5:54 PM  
57 Developing gas stations and strip malls and more mining = bad 2/20/2014 10:58 AM  
58 Continuation of current tax evaluation system which is inequitable for newer houses off the water. 2/20/2014 10:35 AM  
59 Same still...we have been discussing lake recreational development with absolutely no real action for years...time is up...do Something! 2/20/2014 10:27 AM  
60 continuation of poor communication and technological services. New source for heating our home. Continuous tax increases and property value decreases. 2/20/2014 10:13 AM  
61 allowing houses to be placed where there is now woods and field. 2/20/2014 10:00 AM  
62 Creeping industry, ugly development along route 31 north. 2/20/2014 8:58 AM  
63 industrial, commercial spread into the township especially DO NOT want to see any "wind farm" development in our township or any increased mining(other than St. Mary's in the mining district) 2/19/2014 11:33 AM  
64 Approval of a limestone quarry. 2/18/2014 6:58 PM  
65 Endless development 2/18/2014 3:14 PM  
66 windmills and higher taxes 2/18/2014 9:45 AM  
67 further development of mining, retail or less density development. 2/18/2014 9:31 AM  
68 Over regulation. 2/17/2014 12:42 PM  
69 Complaining and bickering neighbors 2/17/2014 10:59 AM  
70 No zoning plan, not protecting natural resources, not making needed road improvements, being proactive and change with future needs, 2/16/2014 5:25 PM  
71 Allowing further industrial land uses in any other area than the current industrial land use areas. 2/16/2014 2:31 PM  
72 Changing the entrance of Fisherman's Is to Witmere Rd or Clipperview Rd. 2/16/2014 1:54 PM  
73 Mining on existing park land! 2/16/2014 12:17 PM  
74 Township government. 2/15/2014 9:29 AM  
75 Township government. 2/15/2014 9:29 AM  
76 If Lime Stone Quarries or other mining operations are not properly regulated the end result will be disasterous. 2/14/2014 2:38 PM  
77 Industrial development, lack of maintenance of open waterfront 2/14/2014 2:05 PM  
78 Overdevelopment of industrial business, further degrading of roads, 2/14/2014 10:53 AM  
79 Government (all levels) interventions. Township boards with special agenda and interests, without regard to long term property owners rights.

2/13/2014 6:22 PM  
80 Windmills, fracking, industrialization, subdivisions, reduced farm land. 2/13/2014 12:23 PM  
81 Industrial development/mining 2/13/2014 7:40 AM  
82 Over urbanization 2/13/2014 12:22 AM  
83 Allowing mining near residential and ag areas 2/12/2014 4:05 PM  
84 CHANGING THE BEACH BY BUYING MORE LAND WHOULD BRING MORE TRAFFIC TO THE VILLAGE OF NORWOOD 2/12/2014 9:20 AM  
85 If taxes are raised further, that would be a real negative. I also think we need to be careful about industrial type land usage as the beauty of the area should be protected. 2/11/2014 9:14 PM  
86 Crowds or crowded conditions 2/11/2014 8:13 PM  
87 Lack of internet and high taxes 2/11/2014 7:32 PM  
88 Commercial and industrial development. 2/11/2014 6:36 PM  
89 Government 2/11/2014 5:23 PM  
90 More mining which also creates worse roads. Any heavy industrial development. 2/11/2014 4:02 PM  
91 Desires of the few making township decisions for the many. 2/11/2014 1:10 PM  
92 Unwise expansion and poor commercial uses. 2/11/2014 12:38 PM  
93 too much retail, industrial, commercial expansion in village. poorly planned land use destroying character of village. 2/11/2014 9:00 AM  
94 St. Mary's cement plant 2/10/2014 9:40 PM  
95 If trucks were going back and forth because of some mining project. Also, having too much traffic on roads. 2/10/2014 4:45 PM  
96 the biggest hindrance is the Township Board that is driven by personal interest. the opening up of the area to through traffic along the lake., bike, foot or car. 2/10/2014 3:04 PM  
97 Not having closer store for needed groceries. 2/10/2014 1:36 PM  
98 Not having closer store for needed groceries. 2/10/2014 1:36 PM  
99 Commercial and/or industrial planting 2/10/2014 1:15 PM  
100 See answer to #46 - detrimental projects that hinder the above 2/10/2014 1:06 PM  
101 no comment 2/10/2014 11:31 AM  
102 DISFUNCTIONAL AND OVER BEARING BOARD 2/10/2014 9:17 AM  
103 Noisy mines creating traffic issues, random commercial development of pole barn strip malls. 2/9/2014 3:52 PM  
104 Trying to develop -opening more commercial and industrial businesses 2/9/2014 1:35 PM  
105 Township board 2/9/2014 1:35 PM  
106 Subdivisions and commercial development 2/9/2014 11:47 AM  
107 Allowing development of gravel pits etc in the township. 2/8/2014 6:52 PM  
108 Over regulation promoted by the newbies 2/8/2014 11:36 AM  
109 Spread of industrial development as seen along US 31 closer to Charlevoix. Mining/quarrying proximate to the village or Lake Michigan. 2/8/2014 10:29 AM  
110 too much unmanaged growth and neglect of current needs - i.e. internet access and cellular service 2/8/2014 10:07 AM  
111 Too much growth and loss of agriculture 2/7/2014 5:12 PM  
112 Industrial development-strip malls-shopping centers-obnoxious street lights 2/6/2014 11:21 PM  
113 Large commercial or industrial developments. Also high density residential development. 2/6/2014 6:00 PM  
114 Selling off the land to any governmental agency Allowing any windmills or other ridiculous "we're going to get rich quick" ideas that are proven not to work Allowing any shopping strip malls or any of the above-mentioned ideas you questioned 2/6/2014 5:06 PM  
115 development 2/6/2014 3:33 PM  
116 To much retail or industrial development would most likely eliminate the possibility of building a home on the vacant property. It is very near that point already. 2/6/2014 12:54 PM  
117 Weak internet; over crowding; industry; pollution of noise, smell, sight, air 2/6/2014 10:24 AM  
118 increase in taxes Loss of family farms 2/6/2014 9:53 AM  
119 Poorly maintained infrastructure. 2/5/2014 10:59 AM  
120 Overdevelopment, loss of natural areas and resources. 2/5/2014 9:31 PM  
121 twp rules, limiting private property rights, limiting industrial, agricultural, and mining opportunities. 2/5/2014 8:48 PM  
122 Disregarding the concerns of the landowners. 2/5/2014 6:51 PM  
123 Building spacing close together which takes away from the country feeling 2/5/2014 5:32 PM  
124 Over development of residential and commercial property 2/5/2014 5:06 PM  
125 Too much development. Any sort of additional industrial, service or retail additions in Norwood. 2/5/2014 4:37 PM  
126 Mining of sand, limestone, or gravel 2/5/2014 4:04 PM  
127 Increased or expanded mining in the township. 2/5/2014 3:39 PM  
128 The inclusion of industry and relaxation of zoning requirements 2/5/2014 12:41 PM  
129 Industrial development 2/5/2014 12:09 PM  
130 Excessive government, taxes. 2/4/2014 11:56 PM  
131 we don't need a wal mart or another superstore. I like the local business and the small town residential feel of Norwood. 2/4/2014 3:02 PM

#### 48. Is there anything else that you would like to suggest to the Township Planning Commission?

1 Pave Warner Road No to land swap - St. Mary's 3/26/2014 7:35 PM  
2 Use common sense. 3/26/2014 7:16 PM  
3 Listen to the people of the Township 3/26/2014 6:52 PM  
4 Good Work! 3/26/2014 6:41 PM  
5 Some consideration for those of us that don't live in the village or on Lakeshore Drive 3/26/2014 6:32 PM  
6 Please put a protective overlay district over the village of Norwood. 3/26/2014 6:27 PM

7 Please put a protective overlay district over the village of Norwood. 3/26/2014 6:06 PM

8 Do not sell out for one time big money. 3/26/2014 5:47 PM

9 have a published public discussion on St. Mary/DNR Land Transfers 3/26/2014 5:33 PM

10 no 3/26/2014 5:15 PM

11 There are some people without internet access or email. Communicating with the Township Office can be difficult. A voice mail system on the office phone would be helpful as would a drop box at the township office for items such as this, instead of having to drive to a Post Office to return this survey. 3/26/2014 5:06 PM

12 Norwood is a great place. Please consider any changes very carefully and let the people of the Township vote on larger issues. Thanks. 3/14/2014 3:51 PM

13 Keep and enhance the historic attributes 3/1/2014 7:32 PM

14 Judging from this survey you are diligently and conscientiously fulfilling your responsibilities. 2/28/2014 4:35 PM

15 Instead of worrying about what land owners might do with their property in the future lets work on things that need attention now. One example the old maple trees that line the streets are dying out. whats the plan to take down dying dangerous trees and replace 2/28/2014 7:54 AM

16 The zoning ord. needs a complete over- haul. Next time design a more thoughtful questioner. 2/27/2014 5:36 PM

17 Maintain status quo. 2/26/2014 8:03 PM

18 Please do not leave zoning loopholes for greedy "sharpies" to slip through. 2/26/2014 3:54 PM

19 Please explore the possibility of natural gas service being expanded into the township. This would benefit homeowners greater than improved internet. 2/26/2014 1:22 PM

20 I would like to see restrictions on vehicle use in Fisherman's island state park. I would like that access road from the south to be used by walkers and bikers only. Perhaps working with the state park to put a bike path in. As it is, the path is almost impassable with the deep ruts and mud holes created by off wheelers. Also - horses should be allowed on these paths or trails. 2/26/2014 12:03 PM

21 Keep doing the good work you are about. 2/25/2014 7:46 PM

22 Question number one is badly quantified. You ask that importance be designated yet have column one designated "not important". Thus, I marked them all important. How else was I to answer if, in fact, I believed all of my choices were important. Questions 23, 24, 25, 31, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39 and 40 have nothing to do with updating a township master plan. At best, questions 23, 31, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39 and 40 are financial questions, the proposing of which should be the responsibility of the Township Board, not the Township Planning Commission. If the responses come back on these finance questions in the negative, what kind of position does that place the Board if circumstances warrant the need for increased millage as was required a few years ago for ambulance and EMS? 2/25/2014 2:03 PM

23 thanks for involving all our neighbors in our community ... our strength is our sense of community and neighbors working together 2/24/2014 11:38 PM

24 Do not try to regulate uses that are less intensive than the uses allowable by right in the district, like allowing full fledged farming in Ag but trying to regulate painters and landscapers 2/24/2014 1:51 PM

25 Honor the Master Plan as the law mandates 2/23/2014 10:09 PM

26 Keep up the good work! Thank you. 2/23/2014 12:58 PM

27 Keep bike path away from existing homes for safety reasons. Encourage bike path along US 31 from Charlevoix to Traverse City. 2/23/2014 12:05 PM

28 some type of yard waste drop-off/recycling is needed 2/22/2014 11:03 AM

29 Ever consider merging with neighboring township to save money and increase services? 2/21/2014 8:14 PM

30 Decide what draws tax payers and high dollar residential investment ... The scenery, the lake, good food, good outside activities ... Develop and make decisions with this in mind! 2/21/2014 5:26 PM

31 Allow the people to have more control over THEIR township!! 2/21/2014 9:57 AM

32 Whereas some limits on development are good, there can easily be too much as well. It is a good thing also to preserve the historic value of the township. I do like this survey, and I am encouraged that the planning commission is using it as a tool to read public opinion instead of acting upon the few opinions of board members. 2/20/2014 6:27 PM

33 pay the commissioners a good salary and hold them accountable to make the twp strong 2/20/2014 5:54 PM

34 No 2/20/2014 1:50 PM

35 Please be careful what you do 2/20/2014 10:58 AM

36 Is there a way to add a restroom to the township hall even if there is no water and it has to be pumped out? What about plans for cemetery maintenance and costs? 2/20/2014 10:35 AM

37 Do something. The minutes and actions are really underperforming! 2/20/2014 10:27 AM

38 no 2/20/2014 10:19 AM

39 Please consider an alternate fuel to propane. Price is extravagant. Our property taxes are more than \$800 per month with no cell, internet access, road lighting, or cable. The recent paved road is very appreciated. 2/20/2014 10:13 AM

40 Focus on the natural resources and protecting them It is our greatest draw and reason for living here. 2/20/2014 10:00 AM

41 Avoid elected leadership from developing their own special interests at the expense of taxpayers 2/20/2014 8:58 AM

42 No 2/18/2014 6:58 PM

43 Stay cool guys and take surveys. Get rib of TACKY fence at cemetery and replace with appropriate 2/18/2014 3:14 PM

44 open ferry rd. through that swamp again 2/18/2014 9:45 AM

45 Stop over regulating the land owners! Do we have any freedoms left? 2/17/2014 10:59 AM

46 PLEASE pave Lakeshore Drive. 2/16/2014 5:25 PM

47 No 2/16/2014 4:18 PM

48 Helping to pave or improve Ferry Rd and enforce the clean up of abandoned homes and/or buildings. Keep up the beauty of the township. 2/16/2014 2:31 PM

49 Don 't trade existing park entrance it's a bad deal. 2/16/2014 12:17 PM

50 Relax a bit and quit controlling every activity that goes on. Come up with basic guidelines and let people use their land. Smart growth, not complete control. 2/15/2014 9:29 AM

51 Relax a bit and quit controlling every activity that goes on. Come up with basic guidelines and let people use their land. Smart growth, not complete control. 2/15/2014 9:29 AM

52 Outdoor wood furnaces need to be controlled or banned in close proximity to other dwellings. Also we need better cell phone coverage in our area. 2/14/2014 2:38 PM

53 Stay on top of the phragmites problem...that weed is ALL over the state..and even in Hawaii! It will impede the scenic views of everyone.. 2/14/2014 2:05 PM

54 Encourage maintenance of US 31 pavement. Discourage use of center line rumble strips. The noise destroys the serene nature of the area. Major issue for many including those with homes located off US 31. 2/13/2014 7:40 AM

55 Need more tax payer participation in local govt 2/13/2014 12:22 AM

56 No, but thank you for your service. 2/12/2014 4:05 PM

57 LET THE LANDOWNER DECIDE WHAT TO DO WITH HE/HER LAND, AS LONG AS IT IS WITHIN TOWNSHIP BY LAWS. WHICH MEANS THE SCENIC VIEW AND OPEN SPACE PROPOSAL SHOULD BE FORGOTTEN ABOUT, AGAIN THIS IS ABOUT PEOPLE MOVING HERE TRYING TO CHANGE THINGS TO MAKE THIS A COMMUNITY THAT THEY JUST LEFT. THIS IS NOT AN ASSOCIATION COMMUNITY. 2/12/2014 9:20 AM

58 Just a quick note that the survey was a great idea. Thanks for putting this together. 2/11/2014 9:14 PM

59 Not currently. 2/11/2014 8:13 PM

60 Get us internet service. The world has it and we don't 2/11/2014 7:32 PM

61 Not that you would like to hear. 2/11/2014 5:23 PM

62 I would promote clustered houses, with lots of open space and scenic views 2/11/2014 4:02 PM

63 Thank you for seeking resident input. 2/11/2014 1:10 PM

64 Enforce regulations as to the law and enforce abuses. Legal confrontations are no excuse to back down when the Township is right. 2/11/2014 12:38 PM

65 continued use of surveys. planning future development intelligently without undue influence from outside special interest groups. preserve village character. 2/11/2014 9:00 AM

66 Preserve the natural resources. WE didn't make it perfect - it came that way. 2/10/2014 9:40 PM

67 No 2/10/2014 4:45 PM

68 That the 10 feet plus of my lot that you used for a bus turn around, plus drainage ditch. The turn around is not even at the end of the road, If the turn around was at the end of the road, State and Township property could have been used and an organized parking area created. 2/10/2014 3:04 PM

69 I live on 2nd street and it is unmaintained. This is not right and strongly feel I should not have to maintain the road at my expense when I pay taxes. 2/10/2014 1:36 PM

70 I live on 2nd street and it is unmaintained. This is not right and strongly feel I should not have to maintain the road at my expense when I pay taxes. 2/10/2014 1:36 PM

71 none 2/10/2014 11:31 AM

72 Don't try to be like the big city boys. Chill 2/9/2014 3:52 PM

73 Protect our peaceful township from unnecessary commercial and industrial development without restricting the rights of current landowners to develop their own properties in a reasonable fashion that meets the agriculture and residential current status of the property. 2/9/2014 1:35 PM

74 Reasonable regulation is ok. Over regulation is not. Denying an individuals property rights is inexcusable. 2/8/2014 11:36 AM

75 No 2/8/2014 10:29 AM

76 Thanks for asking and for taking this seriously 2/8/2014 10:07 AM

77 I would not be opposed to have a very quaint general store with a great bakery. Or perhaps a general store/with a quaint restaurant in the village. 2/6/2014 11:21 PM

78 No personal agenda"s preserve the beautiful area. 2/6/2014 6:00 PM

79 BE SMART!!!! Use the survey results, and don't go off on any personal agendas! 2/6/2014 5:06 PM

80 keep up the good work 2/6/2014 3:33 PM

81 All to often big city people visit, fall in love with the atmosphere of a rural area, decide to purchase some property and build their dream home. This in itself is not an issue. When they decide that the rural area needs more retail development to make life more convenient like it was in the big city they came from it tends to ruin the rural atmosphere they fell in love with for everyone, not just them. Farmers are told that their established 50-75 year old farm is too stinky to be upwind of the expensive house that just got built. You have a very nice township as is, please don't be in a big hurry to "big city" on those who chose this area for it's current atmosphere. 2/6/2014 12:54 PM

82 Keep connecting with the residents for feedback 2/6/2014 10:24 AM

83 Clipperview subdivision needs to have adequate cell phone coverage. It's a dead zone with a very weak signal. 2/5/2014 10:59 PM

84 let property owners decide please. 2/5/2014 8:48 PM

85 Protection and respect of the individual landowner that makes up Norwood Township will make Norwood Township great. With out this Norwood will be like any other place one would live but not take pride in. 2/5/2014 6:51 PM

86 Keep to the existing plan closely that has been in place for many years. Most of the residents have been here for several generations and with minimal issues. 2/5/2014 5:32 PM

87 no 2/5/2014 5:06 PM

88 Continue to resist attempts to develop mining in Norwood Township 2/5/2014 4:04 PM

89 Keep residents aware and informed to proposed exchange of property with St. Mary's Cement and Charlevoix Township and to what effect this proposal would have on Norwood Township. 2/5/2014 3:39 PM

90 Strict enforcement of all zoning requirements 2/5/2014 12:41 PM

91 no 2/5/2014 12:09 PM